

D test: A simple test with big implication for *Staphylococcus aureus* Macrolide-Lincosamide-Streptogramin_B Resistance Pattern

Shrestha B¹ and Rana SS²

¹Department of Microbiology, Tri-Chandra Campus Tribhuvan University; ²Department of Medicine, Nepal Police Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal

Corresponding author: Dr. Bidhya Shrestha, Department of Microbiology, Tri-Chandra Campus Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal; e-mail: b_shrestha_07@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

D test is a simple disc diffusion test giving high throughput results. It is used to study the macrolide lincosamide streptogramin resistance (MLS_B), both constitutive and inducible as well as macrolide streptogramin resistance (MS_B) in *Staphylococcus aureus*. In this test, erythromycin (macrolide) and clindamycin (lincosamide derivative) discs are placed adjacent to each other over the Mueller Hinton agar medium inoculated with the test organism. The growth of the organism up to the edges of the disc, flattening of the clindamycin zone (D test positive) near the erythromycin disc (resistant) and susceptible to both antibiotics implicate that the organism is having constitutive MLS_B (CMLS_B), inducible MLS_B (IMLS_B) and no resistance respectively. Further, the organism susceptible to clindamycin without any flattening of the zone (D test negative) near clindamycin disc (resistant) implicates that the organism is having macrolide streptogramin resistance (MS_B). The test is performed in the same MHA plate in which the antibiotic sensitivity test is being done, taking into consideration that the discs are placed adjacent to each other maintaining the distance. Since clindamycin and streptogramin are among the few drugs of choice in the treatment of methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) infections, knowing the resistance to these antibiotics is imperative.

Keywords: Resistance, erythromycin, clindamycin, streptogramin, *Staphylococcus aureus*.

INTRODUCTION

Macrolide, lincosamide and type B streptogramin (MLS) are chemically distinct antibiotic having similar target site and mode of action.^{1,2} They all have a narrow spectrum of activity against Gram positive cocci especially staphylococci, streptococci and enterococci. Three mechanisms account for acquired resistance to these MLS antibiotics and they are modification of the target of the antibiotics, active efflux of the antibiotics and inactivation of the antibiotics. Target site modification is the most common mechanism of acquired resistance to MLS antibiotics in staphylococci. A single alteration in 23S rRNA confers broad cross-resistance to macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramin B-type antibiotics and hence known as macrolide lincosamide streptogramin B resistance (MLS_B resistance).³ MLS_B resistance can be either constitutive MLS_B (CMLS_B) or inducible MLS_B (IMLS_B).⁴ MLS_B resistance phenotype accounts for nearly all of the resistant clinical isolates. In staphylococci, the prevalence of this resistance phenotype in hospital settings is between 15 and 45%, but generalization cannot be made because of important local variations.⁵ Active efflux of antibiotic, less frequently encountered mode of acquired resistance is mediated by an ATP-dependent pump mediated by *mcrA*.⁶ Inactivation of antibiotic yet another mode does not confer cross resistance⁷ and has limited value.

Macrolides consist of 14-, 15-, and 16- membered lactone ring macrolides. Erythromycin, oleandomycin, clarithromycin, dirithromycin and roxythromycin are macrolides having 14- membered lactone ring, Spiramycin, josamycin, midecamycin, kitasamycin and rokitamycin are having 16-membered lactone ring and Azithromycin is having 15-membered lactone ring (also called azalide structure).

Clindamycin is a derivative of lincomycin, the lincosamide antibiotic that inhibits protein synthesis by the target modification. Clindamycin is a useful antibiotic for the treatment of skin and soft tissue infection, and infections caused by *Staphylococcus* spp. especially methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA). Clindamycin has excellent tissue and bone penetration, and accumulates in abscesses. Good oral absorption and no requisition of renal dosing adjustment make it an important therapeutic agent.⁸

Streptogramin antibiotic consists of at least 2 structurally unrelated molecules: group A (M) streptogramins (macrolactones) and group B (S) streptogramins. Pristinamycin and virginiamycin are naturally occurring streptogramins, whose use in clinical practice has been limited due to their complex and irregular composition, and insolubility.⁹ Streptogramins A and B act synergistically and the mixture of the two

compounds is more powerful than the individual components in inhibiting protein synthesis. Group A or group B compound alone has a moderate bacteriostatic activity, whereas the combination of the two exhibit strong bacteriostatic activity and often bactericidal activity.¹⁰ Streptogramins are effective in the treatment of vancomycin resistant *S. aureus* (VRSA) and vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE).¹¹

These three antibiotics though are structurally different their mode of action is similar working in the same site during protein synthesis. Cross resistance among these antibiotics is due to modification of drug target. Erythromycin and other macrolides bind reversibly to 50S ribosomal subunit and methylate ribosomal protein in the 23S ribosomal RNA. Such rRNA methylation leads to conformational change in ribosome resulting into co-resistance between macrolides, lincosamide and streptogramin due to their common target of action. Therefore, erythromycin mediated methylase confers resistance to lincosamide and streptogramin in the presence of erythromycin. Clindamycin and streptogramin do not induce methylase.¹² In the absence of erythromycin to induce the enzyme, organisms appear susceptible to these antibiotics.

RESISTANCE TO MACROLIDE, LINCOSAMIDE AND STREPTOGRAMIN

Resistance of bacteria against these antibiotics may be intrinsic or acquired. Gram negative bacteria like members of Enterobacteriaceae family, *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Acinetobacter* spp. are intrinsically resistant to MLS antibiotics due to the impermeability of the bacterial cell membrane. However in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) infection the MIC is achieved in the range of 2-256 µg/ml, hence can be used in the infection occurred in the GIT.

Three mechanisms that account for the acquired resistance among bacteria against these antibiotics are target modification, active efflux of the antibiotic and inactivation of antibiotics.

Target modification: Single alteration in 23S rRNA confers broad cross resistance to macrolide, lincosamide and streptogramin B antibiotics. *erm* genes [*erm*(A), *erm*(B) and *erm*(C)] encoded methylase enzyme, methylate the ribosome at 23S thus target of the antibiotic is altered. As a result antibiotic cannot act upon the target and resistance is observed.

Active efflux of antibiotics: There are antibiotic resistance genes encoding for transport of proteins (efflux). They do not modify the antibiotic or the antibiotic target, rather pump (efflux) the antibiotics

out of the cell or the cellular membrane such that intracellular concentration becomes low and ribosomes are free from the antibiotics.²

Macrolide and streptogramin resistant *msr*(A), macrolide efflux *mef*(A) in *Streptococcus Pyogenes* and *mef*(E) in *S. pneumoniae*; and virginiamycin factor A *Vga*(A) and *vga*(B) in staphylococci are three different efflux systems that have been described in gram positive cocci.²

msr(A), *msr*(B) [also *msr*(A') and *msr*(B')] are different from *mef* genes in the aspect that they confer resistance to both macrolide and streptogramin B whereas the later confer efflux of macrolide only. A lincomycin specific efflux pump encoded in *lmr*(A) has been described in *Streptomyces lincolnensis*.²

Inactivation of antibiotics: There are arrays of genes encoding for the enzymes that inactivate the antibiotics. There is no cross resistance when the mode of action is by inactivation of antibiotics.⁷ In the members of Enterobacteriaceae and in *S. aureus*, macrolide inactivation occurs by *ErmA* and *ErmB* enzymes that hydrolyze the lactone ring of the macrocyclic nucleus and also phosphotransferase [type I (*mph*(A) and type II] inactivate the macrolide.¹³ *lin*(A) gene conferring resistance only to lincosamide¹³ has been detected in *S. aureus*, *S. haemolyticus*, *S. epidermidis*, *S. cohnii* and *S. hominis*. Similarly *lin*(A') has been reported in *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis* and *S. cohnii*.⁷ *vgb* gene in staphylococci encoding lactonase is capable of cleaving macrolactone of streptogramin B. Similarly *vat*(A) and *vat*(B) genes encoding acetyltransferases inactivate streptogramin A.¹³

The multiplicity and complexity of MLS resistance phenotypes of bacteria observed today are largely due to the recent detection of new mechanisms of resistance mainly the inactivation of antibiotics. However, these new mechanisms have a limited importance in practical point of view due to their low incidences. Inactivation of lincosamide has been reported in 2 % of *S. aureus* and 4-8 % in coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS). Less than 5 % of *S. aureus* inactivate streptogramin antibiotics. This is in contrast to that MLS resistance conferring nearly all the resistance observed among the clinical isolates which accounts for 15-45 % of resistance among *S. aureus* isolated from hospital settings. Erythromycin resistance in MRSA has been reported to be higher than 90 % in numerous countries.⁷ However, generalization is difficult due to the importance of local variation.

Macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B (MLS_B) resistance: Cross resistance occurring between macrolide, lincosamide and streptogramin B also known as Macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B resistance

is an acquired resistance encoded in erythromycin methylase (*erm*) genes. Three distinct methylase genes *erm*(A), *erm*(B) and *erm*(C) have been detected in staphylococci.³ Expression of these methylase genes is controlled by translational attenuation.³

MLS_B resistance in *S. aureus* may be constitutive or inducible. When the expression is constitutive, the organisms are resistant to all macrolides, lincosamides and type B streptogramin antibiotics. In contrary, when the resistance expression is inducible, the organisms are resistant to 14- and 15-membered macrolides; and are sensitive to 16 membered macrolide, lincosamide and streptogramin B in the absence of inducer erythromycin.¹⁴ Since, 14- and 15-membered macrolides are effective inducers of methylase synthetase, methylase is produced only in the presence of an inducer (erythromycin). Azithromycin, the 15-membered macrolide also induce resistance in clindamycin.¹⁵ Strains with inducible resistance are resistant to erythromycin and appear susceptible to clindamycin and streptogramin B in the absence of inducer the erythromycin. They are resistant to these antibiotics in the presence of inducer.

Erythromycin ribosome methylase gene: Till 1999, 22 classes of rRNA methylase (*erm*) genes had been reported. Twenty one classes contained the identified and characterized *erm* genes and in 22nd class contained all unclassified and uncharacterized genes.² In 2009, 33 classes of *erm* genes have been reported. Of those, only 9 classes [*erm*(A), (B), (C), (F), (G), (Q), (T), (Y), *erm*(33)] have been identified in *S. aureus*.¹⁶ The most prevalent genes encoding the methylase in *S. aureus* have been designated *erm*(A), *erm*(B), and *erm*(C). Of these three too, *erm*(A) and *erm*(C) are the most common ones and *erm*(B) is found in the *Staphylococcus* isolates from animal origin. *erm*(A) and *erm*(C) genes are located in chromosome and plasmid respectively. The distribution of *erm*(A) and *erm*(C) is often species specific. Rarely occurring *erm*(B) gene is located in transposon of *S. aureus*.

Genetic basis of MLS_B resistance: *erm* genes code for MLS_B resistance irrespective of their constitutive of inducible nature of resistance. The methylase enzyme produced by *erm* gene methylates the 23S ribosomal RNA, specifically adenine 2058 in 23S rRNA.¹⁷ The methylation alters the conformation of ribosome leading to resistance to macrolide. The *erm* mediated methylase produced by erythromycin resistant *S. aureus* is also responsible for cross resistance to clindamycin and streptogramin due to their common site and mode of action.

The inducible or constitutive expression of resistance

is not related to class of *erm* gene. It solely depends on the regulatory region sequence present upstream of the methylase structural gene. The regulation of expression of MLS_B resistance occurs by translation attenuation, where translation of methylase encoding genes occurs depending on the presence of inducer. Two point mutations in the control region convert the inducibly resistant strain to constitutively resistant strain irrespective of the presence or absence of the inducer.¹⁸

Macrolide-streptogramin B (MS_B) resistance: Staphylococci that exhibit resistance to 14- and 15-membered ring macrolide and streptogramin B but are sensitive to 16 membered ring macrolide and lincosamide are said to have MS_B resistance.^{1, 2, 19} MS_B resistant staphylococci harbor macrolide streptogramin resistance [*msr*(A)] gene or a similar gene that encodes an ATP dependent efflux pump mechanism.²⁰ MS_B resistant strains remain Clindamycin susceptible in disc diffusion test.

Macrolide streptogramin resistance gene: In *S. aureus*, the MS_B resistance is conferred by the macrolide streptogramin resistance *msr*(A) gene.²¹ This is the most prevalent gene conferring MS_B resistance. Another gene conferring MS_B resistance is *msr*(B) which has not been reported much. The *msr*(B) gene homologous to *msr*(A) is significantly shorter than the *msr*(A) gene sequence which is roughly half the size of *msr*(A).² Recently in 2009, *msr*(B) along with *msr*(SA), *msr*(SA') have been included in *msr*(A) gene.^{22,23}

Genetics of MS_B resistance: The *msr*(A) gene encodes for a hydrophilic ATP binding protein, MsrA that functions as a drug efflux pump, an ATP dependent process.²⁰ MsrA protein belonging to ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters super family exports antibiotics across the cell membrane. *msr*(A) gene expression is regulated by translational attenuation and removal of the control region of the gene leads to constitutive expression of *msr*(A).²⁴

EPIDEMIOLOGY

In 2 hospitals in the USA (Chicago) occurrence of CMLS_B resistance has been stated to be much higher among MRSA (84 % and 82 %) compared to that among methicillin sensitive *S. aureus*, MSSA (3 % and 18 %).⁴ In the same hospitals, the incidence of IMLS_B resistance has been reported to be low (7 and 12 %) among MRSA and among MSSA (20 % and 19 %). However, in another US hospital MSSA isolates (34%) has been reported to be almost three times more likely to have IMLS_B resistance compared to MRSA isolates (11%).²⁵ In yet another report from Atlanta USA 32 % of *S. aureus* isolates had IMLS_B and 13.7 % had CMLS_B resistance in

a collection of *S. aureus* strains from Center of Disease Control and prevention and project, and Rockefeller University, USA.¹⁴ Association of MRSA with IMLS_B resistance has been put forward by Maple *et al*.²⁶ They have stated that clindamycin resistance emerge readily a common event in MRSA.

In Spain Significantly higher prevalence of IMLS_B than CMLS_B resistance among *S. aureus* has been reported.²⁷ In a European study from 24 university hospitals, majority of the macrolide resistant MRSA strains were CMLS_B phenotype, whereas IMLS_B resistance was predominant among MSSA.²⁸ Similar higher occurrence of IMLS_B resistance among MSSA has been reported in Birmingham.²⁹ On the contrary, in Greece Higher prevalence of CMLS_B (60 %) followed by IMLS_B (35 %) and clindamycin susceptible phenotype (5 %) has been reported in *S. aureus*.³⁰ Similarly, in Turkey a higher occurrence of CMLS_B resistance in MRSA (44.2 % versus 24.4 %) and IMLS_B in MSSA, (14.8 % versus 4.5 %) has been reported.³¹ Comparatively higher occurrence of CMLS_B resistance in MRSA has been put forward in a Turkish study.¹⁵

In Nepali context, Mohapatra *et al* have reported association of CMLS_B and IMLS_B with MRSA.³² In similar Nepali study, MLS_B resistance was found associated with MRSA (97.7 %).³³ MRSA having CMLS_B resistance has been stated to be 94.7% and 100% of the IMLS_B resistant isolates were MRSA.³³

In USA (Atlanta), 8.5% of the *S. aureus* exhibited MS_B resistance.¹⁴ In another report from two US hospitals (Chicago), quite a low occurrence of MS_B among MRSA and MSSA has been reported.⁴ O'Sullivan *et al*. have stated that MS_B resistance occurs less commonly than IMLS_B but they have also stated that the resistance pattern show great geographical variation.³⁴ On the contrary, Merino-Diaz *et al*. have reported that in Spain MS_B resistance was the most common resistance type comprising of 7.2 % in *S. aureus* of the erythromycin-resistant strains. In the same study, the occurrence of IMLS_B resistance has been reported to be higher (5.2 %) than rate of CMLS_B resistance (1.7 %) in *S. aureus*.²⁷ In a Turkish study Azap *et al*. have reported that MS_B resistance was found among MSSA. Again in another Turkish study, almost equal occurrence of MS_B resistance among MRSA and MSSA has been reported.³¹ In Nepal, no association of MS_B resistance with MSSA or MRSA has been reported.³⁵ MS_B resistance was found in small frequency that occurred mostly among MSSA and heterogeneous MRSA.³³

Factors affecting the prevalence of different resistance phenotype strains

The differences in the occurrence of CMLS_B, IMLS_B,

MS_B resistance among MRSA, and MSSA could be due to geographic variation.³⁴ It has been stated that the incidence of resistance is highly variable with regard to the country, type of infections among the patients,²¹ geographical region and specific clones of MRSA may differ in different hospitals and regions.²⁹ Further, Patel *et al* has stated that the prevalence of resistance phenotypes, and specific clones of MRSA may vary in different regions.²⁹ The incidence of IMLS_B resistance is important in a setting where clindamycin is prescribed empirically, and this incidence is known to differ between hospitals.^{4,15} Further, Maple *et al* have stated that clindamycin resistance emerge readily which is common in MRSA.²⁶ Hence, local statistics are of crucial value for empiric therapy. Surveillance of incidence of macrolide resistance and the respective prevalence of the various resistance types should be done in each hospital and D test is the simple and highly indicative test for the purpose.

METHODOLOGY OF D TEST

D test is a simple disc diffusion test where erythromycin and clindamycin discs are placed adjacent to each other on a lawn of the test organism. D test has a high throughput indicating different types of resistance phenotypes in a single test. This easy to read test can be done along with the antibiotic susceptibility test or even in the same plate hence does not require any extra energy, cost and effort.

For D test, guidelines of recent Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) 2007³⁶ should be followed. 5/6 colonies of the test isolate grown on blood agar is directly suspended in physiological saline (0.85% sodium chloride in distilled water) and is matched with 0.5 McFarland's turbidity standard (1.5x10⁸ bacterial load of per ml). Within 15 minutes of the preparation of the bacterial suspension, it is inoculated onto a dried (37° C for 30 minutes) Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) plate having a depth of 4 mm ± 0.5 mm and pH 7.3 ± 1. A sterile swab is dipped in the matched inoculum suspension and pressed against the inside of tube to express excess of the inoculum, and is inoculated onto MHA plate. The plate is allowed to stand on bench for 5 - 10 minutes. Erythromycin (15 µg) and clindamycin (2 µg) antibiotics discs that have been stored at 2-8° C and have been brought to room temperature are used. The antibiotic discs are placed over the inoculated MHA plate at a distance of 15 mm edge to edge, allowed to stand on bench for 30 minutes and then incubated at 35° C for 18 hrs.³⁶



Fig. 1. Top left IMLS_B resistance, Top right MS_B resistance, Bottom left CMLS_B resistance and B' ottom right No resistance

D TEST INTERPRETATION

The susceptible phenotypes are susceptible to both erythromycin and clindamycin. Presence of flattening of clindamycin zone adjacent to erythromycin disc is a characteristic known as D zone and the isolate is referred to as D test positive.

Any test strain that is resistant to erythromycin and is D test positive is exhibiting IMLS_B resistance and any strains that are resistant to both erythromycin and clindamycin are having CMLS_B resistance. The genes encoding such resistance may carry either one of *erm*(A), *erm*(B) or *erm*(C) conferring methylation of adenine 2058 in 23S rRNA of ribosomal RNA.

D test also detects strains with macrolide-streptogramin B (MS_B) resistance. The strains which are resistant to

erythromycin, susceptible to clindamycin and are D test negative (no flattening of clindamycin zone adjacent to erythromycin disc) are having MS_B resistance. These strains are resistant to macrolide and streptogramin and are susceptible to clindamycin. Such resistance is encoded in macrolide streptogramin resistance (*msr*) genes, which are either *msr*(A) or *msr*(B)²¹ conferring active efflux of antibiotics²⁰ such that intracellular concentration becomes low and ribosomes are free from the antibiotics.² (Figure 1)

Steward, Raney, Morrell et al. have described two distinct phenotypes induction phenotypes and non-induction phenotypes.¹⁴ Induction phenotypes consists of two IMLS_B resistance phenotypes namely D and D⁺. Non-induction phenotypes consist of four phenotypes and are Neg (MS_B), HD (CMLS_B), R (CMLS_B) and S (susceptible) among the isolates of *S. aureus* (Table-1).

Debate over the use of clindamycin in IMLS_B resistance phenotype infection

Clindamycin, one of the drugs of choice in the treatment of infections by homogeneous MRSA cannot be used for those exhibiting CMLS_B. MS_B resistance phenotypes do not develop resistance to clindamycin during therapy.¹⁴ There is doubt in usefulness of clindamycin for the treatment of infections by homogeneous MRSA exhibiting IMLS_B. Although IMLS_B resistance phenotype isolates appear susceptible to clindamycin in the absence of an inducing agent macrolide, there is widespread reluctance to prescribe clindamycin for treatment of patients with infections caused by such organisms due to the concerns that resistance to clindamycin will develop during therapy.⁴

Lewis et al. have recommended avoidance of clindamycin

Table-1: Additional characteristics of D test for clindamycin susceptibility/resistance pattern.

Induction test phenotype	Resistance phenotype	Erythromycin result	Clindamycin result	Test description
D	Inducible MLS _B	R	S	Blunted D shaped clindamycin inhibition zone adjacent to erythromycin disc
D ⁺	Inducible MLS _B	R	S	Blunted D shaped clindamycin inhibition zone near erythromycin disc and small colonies in the zone
Neg	MS _B	R	S	Clear inhibition zone around clindamycin disc
R	Constitutive MLS _B	R	R	Growth up to clindamycin and erythromycin discs
HD	Constitutive MLS _B	R	R	Double Clindamycin zones, one zone is light, hazy growth extending from clindamycin disc to second zone where the growth is heavy. The inner light zone exhibit flattened zone like in D phenotype
S	No resistance	S	S	Clear susceptible zone around clindamycin and erythromycin discs

for the treatment of complicated infections having a high bacterial burden, such as abscesses or osteomyelitis.³⁷ Clindamycin if used for treatment of a less severe IMLS_B *S. aureus* infection, the patient must be closely monitored for signs of treatment failure or relapse of infection. Non-IMLS_B infections can be treated with clindamycin.²⁹ Nevertheless, clindamycin is a frequent choice for treating some staphylococcal infections because it can be given orally and is well tolerated.⁴

CONCLUSION

The sharp rise in staphylococcal infection all over the world and changing pattern of antimicrobial resistance including the emergence of MRSA have led to the use of clindamycin therapy in the treatment of staphylococcal infections.⁸ Increasing frequency of CMLS_B resistance phenotype may be the reflection of the increased use of clindamycin in the treatment of staphylococcal infection.³⁸ Occurrence of CMLS_B and IMLS_B resistance in MRSA^{15,30,31,32} and also in MSSA^{4,25} has made it necessary to perform D test in all *S. aureus* isolates. Further, association of both CMLS_B and IMLS_B resistance with MRSA has also been reported.^{32,35} It has been suggested that IMLS_B phenotypes determined by disk diffusion methods correlate well with genotypic test and the degree of correlation is so strong that disk diffusion results may be used to predict genotype.^{33,38}

Use of clindamycin in MRSA expressing IMLS_B is a matter of debate due to its ability to develop clindamycin resistance in vitro³⁹ and in vivo during clindamycin therapy.⁴⁰ However, there are reports of successful clindamycin treatment of infection by MRSA expressing IMLS_B resistance.⁴⁰ Hence, D test should be included in routine susceptibility test of all *S. aureus* isolates. Any *S. aureus* isolate positive in D test (IMLS_B resistance phenotype) should be reported as clindamycin resistant with a comment that the organism is presumed to be resistant based on the detection of inducible clindamycin resistance and clindamycin may still be effective in some patients.³⁶

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Prof. T. M. Mohapatra and Prof. B. M. Pokhrel for their immense support.

REFERENCES

- Lina G, Quaglia A, Reverdy M-E, Leclercq R, Vandenesch F, Etienne J. Distribution of genes encoding resistance to macrolides, lincosamides and streptogramins among *Staphylococci*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999; 43: 1062-6.
- Roberts MC, Sutcliffe J, Courvalin P, Jensen LB, Rood J, Seppala H. Nomenclature for Macrolide and Macrolide-Lincosamide-Streptogramin B Resistance Determinants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999; 43: 2823-30.
- Leclercq R, Courvalin P. Bacterial resistance to macrolide, lincosamide and streptogramin antibiotics by target modification. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1991; 35: 1267-72.
- Schreckenberger PC, Ilendo E, Ristow KL. Incidence of constitutive and inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulase negative staphylococci in a community and a tertiary care hospital. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004; 42: 2777-9.
- Duval J. Evolution and epidemiology of MLS resistance. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1985; 16(Suppl. A): 137-49.
- Nicola FG, McDougal LK, Biddle JW, Tenover F. Characterization of erythromycin resistant isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* recovered in the United States from 1958 through 1969. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998; 42: 3024-7.
- Leclercq R, Courvalin P. Intrinsic and unusual resistance to macrolide, lincosamide, and streptogramin antibiotics in bacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1991a; 35: 1273-6.
- Frank AL, Marcink JF, Mangat PD et al. Clindamycin treatment of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in children. *Pediatric Infect Dis J* 2002; 21: 530-4.
- Khosla R, Verma DD, Kapur A, Aruna RV, Khanna N. Streptogramins: a new class of antibiotics. *Indian J Med Sci* 1999; 53: 111-9.
- Barriere JC, Berthaud N, Beyer D, Dutka-Malen S, Paris JM, Desnottes JF. Recent development in streptogramin research. *Curr Pharm Des* 1998; 4: 155-80.
- Streptogramin available from <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Streptogramin#column-one>.
- Weisblum B, Demohn V. Erythromycin inducible resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*: survey of antibiotic classes involved. *J Bacteriol* 1969; 98: 447-52.
- Schmitz FJ, Fluit AC. Mechanism of antibacterial resistance. In: Cohen J, Powdery WG, Editors. *Infectious diseases*. 2nd Edition, Spain: Mosby; 2004: 1733-47.
- Steward CD, Raney PM, Morrell AK et al. Testing for induction of clindamycin resistance in erythromycin resistant isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005; 43: 1716-21.
- Azap OK, Arslan H, Timurkaynak F, Yapar G, Oruc C, Gagur U. Incidence of inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococci*: first results from Turkey. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005; 11: 577-96.
- Methylases available from. <http://faculty.washington.edu/marilyn/ermweb4.pdf>
- Eady EA, Ross JI, Tipper JL, Walter CE, Cove JH, Noble WC. Distribution of genes encoding erythromycin ribosomal methylase and as erythromycin efflux pump in epidemiologically distinct groups of staphylococci. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1993; 31: 211-7.
- Werckenthin C, Schwarz S. Molecular analysis of translational attenuator of a constitutively expressed erm (A) gene from *Staphylococcus intermedius*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2000; 46: 785-8.
- Ross JI, Farell AM, Eadt A, Cove J H, Cunliffe WJ. Characterization and molecular cloning of the novel macrolide-streptogramin B resistance determinants from *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1989; 24: 851-62.
- Ross JI, Eady EA, Cove JH, Cunliffe WJ, Baumberg S, Wootton JC. Inducible erythromycin resistance in staphylococci encoded by a member of the ATP dependent transport super gene family. *Mol Microbiol* 1990; 4: 1207-14.